

# General Education Curriculum Committee (GECCo) Manual

2019-2020

# Table of Contents

I. General Education Curriculum Committee	3
II. Requirements of the General Education Program	5
III. General Education Program Overview (2018-present	6
IV. Criteria for Inclusion in the General Education Program	8
V. Submitting Courses to GECCo	9
VI. Assessment procedures and Templates	9
APPENDIX I. GECCo Checklists	13

each academic school shall have <u>no fewer than</u> two members; the Library shall have no fewer than one. If the coordinators/directors listed above do not reflect this diversity, atlarge representatives shall be elected by the units in order to meet the school/Library minimums;

each academic school shall have no more than three members amongst the coordinators.

The committee will elect a chair annually from amongst its membership. All members are voting members except Vice Provost (or representative).

### Membership

GECCo will consist of the following members:

Director of Critical Reading and Writing (ex-officio) Director of Studies in Arts and Humanities (ex-officio) Director of First-Year Seminar (ex-officio) Director of Social Science Inquiry (ex-officio) Coordinator for Historical Perspectives Category Coordinator for Quantitative Reasoning Category Coordinator for Scientific Reasoning Category Coordinator for Global Awareness Category Coordinator for Culture and Creativity Category Coordinator for Values and Ethics Category Coordinator for Systems, Sustainability, and Society Category Vice Provost (or Provost's Office Representative determined by the Provost)

Directors are appointed annually through an application process operating from the Provost's Office or the appropriate Dean's office.

Coordinators will serve renewable two-year terms (approximately half of the membership will be voted on annually). Each year the potential vacancies will be announced in FA and new members will be voted onto the committee in the spring and will begin serving in the following September.

Sabbatical replacements: When a member is awarded sabbatical he/she will determine their replacement on the committee for 1-2 semesters.

### **Roles of GECCo members:**

Directors and Coordinators

The various directors and coordinators will:

Review submitted course syllabi in the areas each represents, in consultation with faculty who teach in the course or category

Coordinate assessments with other GECCo members and with the faculty teaching in the courses/categories to which the objectives under assessment have been assigned

The actual work of assessment should be carried out by Curriculum Assessment Teams (CATs), made up of the faculty in each course/category, coordinated by their respective Coordinators/Directors.

Coordinate subsequent loop-closing meetings and activities reflecting assessment results The determination and implementation of loop-closing measures should be

Experience your world: integrate and apply your new learning Expand our world: develop compassion and ethical understanding across cultures and become an engaged global citizen

# **Objectives:**

General Education Objectives	FY S	CRW T	SIA H	H P	G A	SS I	Q R	S R	C C	V E	SS S
Critically engage with the products of culture, through interpretation or creative expression			x		x				X		
Critically interpret history and society				x		X			X		
Apply methods of scientific inquiry effectively				-				х			X

Demonstrate intercultural						
understanding required to						
effectively negotiate a diverse						
global society			Х			

**Outcomes:** Outcomes Can be found in the GECCo checklists for each in Appendix II or on the GECCo website.

## IV. Criteria for Inclusion in the General Education Program

## Criteria for course inclusion in the GE program (all courses/categories)

All courses submitted and approved for inclusion in the GE program:

1.

## V. Submitting Courses to GECCo

In addition to the standard ARC form and course syllabi, *additional* materials are required by GECCo in order to submit a course to the gen-ed program.

Require materials

Completed ARC form, with relevant signatures

- Any new courses or course revision requires an ARC form, even if that course is for the new Gen-Ed program and will be submitted to GECCo first.
- The 2016 ARC Form contains a box to indicate whether the course is to be part of the new Gen-Ed program and to state the category.
- For administration/paperwork purposes, please fill in the left hand side of the ARC form for 'new' courses, even if you are modifying a current Gen-Ed course for the new Gen-Ed curriculum.

A cover letter

- Provide a brief rationale for why the course meets the criteria of a Gen Ed course (course objectives, outcomes and course content) and how the course will reflect the conceptual framework, objectives, outcomes of the Gen Ed curriculum.
- GECCo has created *category-specific checklists* (Appendix I) that will aid in the preparation of the cover letter. These can be viewed on the GECCo website.

Course syllabus

- GECCo requires syllabi to include GE objectives, outcomes and a matrix (or bullet points) showing how course assignments would meet objectives and outcomes.
- The *category-specific checklists* will aid in the preparation of the syllabi. These can be viewed on the GECCo website.

GECCo has category-specific checklists that will be useful when preparing your submission. As per usual, both hard copies and electronic versions of the above materials are required.

Course materials for new Gen-Ed courses should be submitted <u>directly to the appropriate</u> <u>GECCo Rep</u> by October 15. The GECCo category director/coordinator will then check the paperwork for completeness. If the course needs to be approved as a writing intensive course, the complete course application needs to be submitted to GECCo and WAC simultaneously.

Upon submission of the course materials, GECCo will review the General-Education component of the course. The course will then be:

Accepted: The GECCo chair will sign off on it and then forward the materials <u>directly to ARC</u> for complete review.

**Return for Revision**: If revisions are necessary the faculty member that submitted the course will be contacted in writing by the director/coordinator of the c 157.58 Tm[r6.064 kn wBT1 0 the submi1 EC The revisions will need to be completed and re-submitted before the next GECCo meeting 1571 whi the time the course will then breviewed ag s within the semester.

Rejected: If a course is rejected the facultyer that submitted the course will be contacted

If the faculty member chooses to re-submit, the course will need to be evaluated as a <u>new</u> <u>submission</u> during the next academic year.

### VI. Assessment Procedures and Templates

As noted in the GECCo charge, the committee will develop and implement an ongoing General Education assessment plan on a multi-year cycle.

Each category will form a Curriculum Assessment Team (CAT) composed of faculty (full time or adjunct) that teach the course or teach in the category. The CAT will be responsible for developing the assessment plan and producing a report. Reports will be posted on the GECCo website and presented in an appropriate setting (FA, Unit council, Faculty Development Day, etc...) by the GECCo chair, director, or coordinator.

#### **Timeline for Assessment:**

March-April: Assessment plan is developed and published.

May: All instructors that teach the course or within the category are emailed with the assessment plan and rubric (if needed).

September: CAT members established. Courses to be assessed are chosen and a second email is sent to instructors with more detailed instructions.

October-December: Data is collected.

January-March: Assessment data is reviewed and the report is written.

April-May: Report is published and presented to close the loop

	ASSESSMENT REPORT TEMPI	LATE
	GECCo 2019-2020	
Category:		Director/Coordinator:
List ALL Objecti Years of Assessme	ve(s) and all Student Learning Outcomes t ent.	for the category with the
List the Objective the 2018-2019 aca	e(s) and all Student Learning Outcomes th demic year.	at are being assessed during
-	ses and sections that were used for the asso or future assessments, to lessen the burden o	•
INDIRECT ASS	ESSMENT (must have at least one direct	method)
Method(s) of Assessment	Describe specified methods of evaluation and the tool (i.e. rubric) used to evaluate student learning outcome	
Achievement Target(s)	What are your criteria for success?	

**Current Actions** 

Closing the Loop Plan	How and when will the results be reported?
--------------------------	--

LINE 3 YEAR PLAN

describe the anticipated timeline for your category.

# **APPENDIX I: GECCo CHECKLISTS**

## **GECCo Checklist:**

## **Global Awareness; Keystone category**

Please refer to the GECCo manual for further information. [LINK] The course should be at the 100/200 level or a language course. (Note: GE task force report II states that Global Awareness "would include language courses (depending on placement) as well as 100/200 level non-language courses...")

The **cover letter** submitted along with the syllabus address:

Why does the course meet the criteria of a Gen Ed course (course objectives, outcomes

How will the course reflect the conceptual framework, objectives, and outcomes of Gen Ed Task Force? Specific to GA, does the majority of the course focus outside the United States?

How will assignments for the course assess Gen Ed. Objectives (and the outcomes assigned to the particular objective)?

Did the application include a statement of agreement to participate in all assessment activities and all closing of the loop activities related to the objectives within the Keystone Experience or Distribution Category to which the course is to be assigned?

Did the application provide an estimate of the number of sections that could and would be offered each fall and spring semester, by full-time faculty and adjuncts?

Did the application include a listing of all major and minor programs and/or school cores for which this courses may be counted towards satisfying requirements?

Did the application include a statement about when the proposed course also meets requirements in other programs, an estimate of the number of students who will use the course for these other requirements each year?

Did the application include all other items currently required by ARC including Convener's and Dean's approval of the proposal to be included in the Keystone Experience or Distribution Category and the estimate of number of sections?

Does the syllabus include all of the established objectives and outcomes?

Are assignments mapped to the objectives and outcomes? Is it included or attached to the syllabus. There would need to be a memo with the course that indicates that there is at least one assignment per objective that that meets all outcomes under that objective.

Does the majority of the course content focuses on the prescribed GE content and the associated goals, objectives and student learning outcomes.

#### **Objectives and Outcomes:**

# **Objective 1: Demonstrate intercultural understanding required to effectively negotiate a diverse global society.**

1: Identify and question ethnocentric assumptions.

2: Understand cultural relativism and different concepts of culture.

3: Demonstrate skills helpful in effectively negotiating a diverse global society.

# **Objective 2: Critically engage with the products of culture, through interpretation or creative expression.**

1: Critically interpret a cultural product.

**Objective 3: Understand diverse communities on local, national, and/or global levels.** 

1: Demonstrate understanding of the intersections of issues that affect diverse communities in their local, national, and/or global contexts.

2: Apply various perspectives when analyzing topics related to diverse local, national, and/or global communities.

## **SAMPLE:** Global Awareness

Objective	Outco	Assignme	Assignme	Assignme
	me	nt X	nt Y	nt
				Z

# GECCo Checklist: Historical Perspectives; Keystone category

Please refer to the GECCo manual for further information. [LINK] The **cover letter** 

Society	2.	Х		
Society		Λ		
	Understand			
	historiograph			
	y and its			
	implications			
	for the use of			
	sources.			
2. Question	1.Articulat		Х	
Assumptio	e the forces			
ns about	that have			
Individual	shaped			
and Group	conception			
Identity	s of			
	identity in			
	the			
	past/presen			
	t.			
	2.Evaluate		Х	
	the forces			
	that have			
	shaped			
	conceptions			
	of identity			
	in the			
	past/present.			

3.Understan

	national, and/or global communit ies.		
4.Analyze Ethical Implication s	1.Analyze the distribution s of power and resources		X
of the Global Distribution of Power and Resources.	and their implications at the local, national, and/or international level.		

# GECCo Checklist: Scientific Reasoning; Keystone category

Please refer to the GECCo manual for further information. [LINK] The **cover letter** submitted along with the syllabus address:

Why does the course meet the criteria of a Gen Ed course (course objectives, outcomes and course content)?

How will the course reflect the spirit of Gen Ed Task Force?

- The rationale for a scientific reasoning course in the Gen Ed task force report reads: "As with mathematical literacy, only citizens with basic scientific sensibility can grapple with the complexity, diversity, and change they will face. Our contemporary culture faces increasing distrust in scientific principles. Middle States' focus on scientific inquiry reflects the significance of this category."
- GETFII Recommendation:

o "Courses in this category allow students to connect their understanding of science to topics relevant to society and to areas of knowledge outside of the sciences."

o "Students not majoring in the sciences in TAS satisfy the science requirement by completing one of the TAS "Introduction" courses. Students majoring in the sciences in TAS satisfy the science requirement by completing one of the "Fundamentals" courses.

a	problem			
sc [i 2] sc dc cc	Using knowledge of eientific methods e., outcomes 1 and , analyze a eientific study to etermine if the onclusions e appropriate	X	X	X

2.

Demonstrate lo-16uBT/F1 12

### **GECCo Checklist:**

### **Quantitative Reasoning; Keystone Category Course**

Please refer to the GECCo manual for further information. [LINK] The **cover letter** submitted along with the syllabus address:

Why does the course meet the criteria of a Gen Ed course (course objectives, outcomes and course content)?

How will the course reflect the spirit of Gen Ed Task Force?

The rationale for the Quantitative Reasoning category as included in the Gen Ed task force reads: "We live in a world drowning in data. Citizens lacking the ability to think mathematically cannot fully participate in civic life. Reflecting this reality, both AAC&U and Middle States require quantitative reasoning and literacy."

### **GETFII Recommendation:**

Courses in this category give students the opportunity to apply their knowledge of mathematics to topics relevant to their everyday life.

How will assignments for the course assess Gen Ed. Objectives (and the outcomes assigned to the particular objective)?

Is the course at the 100/200 level? Generally speaking, a 100/200-level course is designed for an all-college audience and for first-year or sophomore students and, in the case of a 100-level4(1122.0.122.06 h.122.06 hETBT1 0 0 1 165.26 357.41 Tm[(-8244e )6(7/F1 1(LI)14(NK)4(

Convener's and Dean's approval of the proposal to be included in the Keystone Experience or Distribution Category and the estimate of number of sections?

Does the syllabus include all of the established objectives and outcomes?

Are assignments mapped to the objectives and outcomes? Is it included or attached to the syllabus. There would need to be a memo with the course that indicates that there is at least one assignment per objective that that meets all outcomes under that objective.

Does the majority of the course content focuses on the prescribed GE content and the associated goals, objectives and student learning outcomes.

#### **Objectives and Outcomes:**

#### **Objective 1: Apply mathematical concepts effectively.**

- 1. Effectively communicate quantitative evidence in support of an argument.
- 2. Explain information in mathematical forms (e.g., equations, graphs, diagrams, tables, words)
- 3. Use appropriate computational method(s) to solve a problem.

## **Objective 2: Demonstrate logic and reasoning skills.**

1. Demonstrate logic and reasoning skills.

**Objective 3: Use technology to communicate, manage, or solve problems.** 

1. Use technology to solve problems.

# **Objective 4: Apply disciplinary and interdisciplinary knowledge and skills to address complex problems.**

1. Apply disciplinary and interdisciplinary knowledge to identify key resources or steps required to solve a complex problem.

2. Utilize disciplinary or interdisciplinary skills to solve problems appropriately.

# SAMPLE: Quantitative Reasoning

Objective	Outco me	Assignme nt X	Assignme nt Y	Assign ment Z
1 Apply mathema tical concepts effectivel	1. Effectively communicate quantitative evidence in support of an argument.	X	X	
у.	2.Explain information in mathematical forms (e.g., equations, graphs, diagrams,	X	X	

	tables, words).			
	3. Use appropriate computational method(s) to solve a problem.	X	X	Х
2	1. Demonstrate	X	X	
Demonstra	logic and			
te logic and	reasoning skills.			
reasoning				
skills.				
3 Use	1. Use technology			X
technology	to solve problems.			
to				
communic				
ate,				
manage, or				
solve				
problems.				

Please refer to the GECCo manual for further information. [LINK]

The course should be at the 200/300 level? Note: All courses submitted and approved for inclusion in one of the Distribution Categories will: be at the 200 level with some instances of 300 level courses being approved.

The **cover letter** submitted along with the syllabus should address:

• The rationale for inclusion of this course in the General Education program (how does the course address Gen Ed criteria for Distribution Category-

- Does the course include prerequisites? Note: Distribution Categories should NOT require prerequisites outside the Keystone Experiences
- Does the course build on the appropriate Keystone Experiences that share common goals and objectives with their Distribution Category?

## **Objectives and Outcomes for Culture and Creativity**

# **1.** Critically engage with the products of culture,\* through interpretation or creative expression. (*shares with SIAH and GA*)

1. Perform or create a cultural product, or Critically interpret or engage with a cultural product.

2. Critically interpret history and society. (shares with SSI and HP)

1. Analyze and interpret appropriate sources.

- **3. Question assumptions about individual and group identity.** (*shares with SSI, HP and VE*) 1. Articulate the forces that have shaped conceptions of identity in the past and/or present.
  - 2. Evaluate the forces that have shaped conceptions of identity in the past and/or present.

4. Demonstrate logic and reasoning skills. (shares with CRWT, QR, SR, SSS, and VE)

\*Products of culture: Artistic study and appreciation as well as societal institutions, values, and traditions.

Objective	Outcome	Assignment X (Sample	Assignment Y	Assignment Z
		assignment:	(Sample	(Sample
		Reflection	assignment:	assignment:
		Essay)	Mid-term)	Final Paper)
1. Critically engage with the products of culture*, through interpretation or creative expression.	1. Perform or create a cultural product, or Critically interpret or engage with a cultural product.	Yes		
2. Critically interpret history and society.	1. Analyze and interpret appropriate sources.			Yes

# SAMPLE TABLE: CULTURE AND CREATIVITY

3. Question assumptions about individual and group identity.	<ol> <li>Articulate the forces that have shaped conceptions of identity in the past and/or present.</li> <li>Evaluate the forces that have shaped conceptions of identity in the past and/or present.</li> </ol>			Yes
4. Demonstrate		Yes	Yes	Yes
logic and				
reasoning skills.				

• Does the course include prerequisites? Note: Distribution Categories should NOT require prerequisites outside the Keystone Experiences

	the conclusions are appropriate.				
2. Analyze ethical implications of the global distribution of power and resources.	1. Analyze the distributions of power and resources and their implications at the local, national, and international level. 2. Summarize ethical perspectives in understanding inequities in the distribution of power and resources.	YES	YES		YES
3. Demonstrate logic and reasoning skills.		YES	YES	YES	YES

Please refer to the GECCo manual for further information. [LINK]

The course should be at the 200/300 level? Note: All courses submitted and approved for inclusion in one of the Distribution Categories will: be at the 200 level with some instances of 300 level courses being approved.

The cover letter submitted along with the syllabus should address:

- The rationale for inclusion of this course in the General Education program (how does the course address Gen Ed criteria for Distribution Category-thumbnail description, objectives and outcomes?)
- How will the course reflect the conceptual framework of Gen Ed Task Force?
- How will assignments for the course assess Gen Ed. Objectives in the Distribution Category (and all the outcomes assigned to the particular objective)?
- How often will the course be offered? Please provide an estimate of the number of sections that could and would be offered each fall and spring semester, by full-time faculty and adjuncts?
- Did the application include a statement of agreement to participate in all assessment activities and all closing of the loop activities related to the objectives within the Keystone Experience or Distribution Category to which the course is to be assigned?
- Did the application include a listing of all major and minor programs and/or school cores for which this courses may be counted towards satisfying requirements? Note: Triple counting is not allowed for a General Education Course.
- Did the application include all other items currently required by ARC including Convener's and Dean's approval of the proposal to be included in the Keystone Experience or Distribution Category and the estimated number of sections?

Does the syllabus include all of the established objectives and outcomes?

Are course learning experiences and assignments aligned with the objectives and respective outcomes? There would need to be a memo with the course that indicates that there is at least one assignment per objective that that meets all outcomes under that objective.

• Does the majority of the course content focus on the prescribed GE content and the associated goals, objectives and student learning outcomes?

- Does the course include prerequisites? Note: Distribution Categories should NOT require prerequisites outside the Keystone Experiences
- Does the course build on the appropriate Keystone Experiences that share common goals and objectives with their Distribution Category?

## **Objectives and Outcomes for Value and Ethics**

# **1.** Analyze ethical implications of the global distribution of power and resources. (*shares* with SSI, HP, and SSS)

1. Analyze the distributions of power and resources and their implications at the local, national, and international level.

2. Summarize ethical perspectives in understanding inequities in the

distribution of power and resources.

**2.** Question assumptions about individual and group identity. (*shares with SSI, HP and CC*)

1. Articulate the forces that have shaped conceptions of identity in the past and/or present.

2. Evaluate the forces that have shaped conceptions of identity in the past and/or present. **tra-personal skills.** (*shares with CRWT*)

- 1. Practice reflective inter-personal skills
- 2. Practice reflective intra-personal skills.

4. Demonstrate logic and reasoning\* skills. (shares with CRWT, QR, SR, CC, and SSS)

## SAMPLE: VALUE AND ETHICS

OBJECTIVES	OUTCOME S	ASSIGNME NT W (PRESENTA TION)	ASSIGNM ENT X (MIDTER M)	ASSIGNME NT Y (PAPER)	ASSIGNME NT Z (FINALS)
1. Analyze ethical implications of the global distribution of power and resources. ( <i>shares with</i> <i>SSI</i> , <i>HP</i> , and <i>SSS</i> )	1.Analyze the distributions of power and resources and their implications at the local, national, and international level.				

	2.Summariz e ethical perspectives in understandin g inequities in the distribution of power and resources.		YES	YES	
2. Question assumptions about individual and group identity.	1. Articulate the forces that have shaped conceptions of identity in the past and/or present.		YES		YES
	2. Evaluate the forces that have shaped conceptions of identity in the past and/or present.		YES		YES
3. Practice reflective inter/intra- personal skills.	1. Practice reflective inter- personal skills	YES			
	2. Practice reflective intra- personal skills.	YES			
4. Demonstrate logic and reasoning skills.		YES	YES	YES	YES